

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 22, 2002 LB 446, 923

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw that amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: The motion is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Bromm would move to return. AM2869. (Legislative Journal pages 703-704.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bromm, you're recognized to open on your motion to return.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I would like to thank Senator Hudkins for allowing me to attempt this amendment on Final Reading. Her bill deals with the Department of Aeronautics and airports and I'll let her go into that if we need to. This bill, this amendment, is LB 923, which was heard by the Transportation and Telecommunications Committee, and was voted to General File unanimously by the committee, and it simply does a couple of things that the Department of Aeronautics brought to us as being items that needed to be cleaned up or duplicative provisions that need to be removed because they're already covered by the Federal Aviation Administration, that being the registration of pilots. There is a provision in our statute that's being removed by LB 923 that requires that land leased by the Department of Aeronautics be reappraised every five years. This would remove that language. The Department of Aeronautics felt that that was an unnecessary requirement and expense, and so did the committee. The other item which is very, very slight, is that it removes the word "annual" from an airport license requirement. This was attempted to be cleaned up last year in last session, but this one word in this one section was not removed, inadvertently, so actually LB 923 is very much a minor Department of Aeronautics clean-up bill that Senator Hudkins agreed to allow us to offer as an amendment on this bill on Final Reading. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the motion? Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, just one quick question, and you may have said this and I may not have been listening at the precise moment, but the provision removing the requirement that